

Pointwise Lower Bounds on the Heat Kernels of Higher Order Schrödinger Operators

Narinder S Claire

Abstract

We apply Davies' method for obtaining pointwise lower bounds on the heat kernels of higher-order differential operators to obtain pointwise lower bounds in the presence of a polynomially bounded potential.

AMS Subject Classification : 35K41

Keywords : Heat Kernel, Parabolic, Elliptic, Schrödinger , Lower Bounds

1 Introduction

There has been extensive work on the theory for heat kernels of second order elliptic operators but the situation for higher order elliptic operators is considerably different. Higher order heat kernels are not necessarily positive on the off-diagonal. Despite the limitations of the approaches often used in the case of second order operators, Davies[1] provided a powerful tool obtaining the necessary bounds and established a lower bound for the heat kernel of higher order elliptic operators with bounded measurable coefficients on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$ where $2m > N$. Robinson and Ter Elst[2] obtained bounds for operators on a Lie Group . Their method however required the conservation of probability.

In this exposition we show that Davies' theory can also be exploited to obtain pointwise lower bounds for heat kernels of the Schrödinger operator with a polynomially bounded potential.

We define

$$\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{(|x|^2 + \rho)} \quad \text{where } \rho = \max\left\{1, \left(\frac{2m}{N} - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right\}$$

We will assume that H_0 is an elliptic differential operator of order $2m$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$ with constant of ellipticity c_1 and that for the potential V there are positive constants c_2 and γ such that

$$V(x) \leq c_2 \langle x \rangle^\gamma$$

If Q_0 is the quadratic form of H_0 defined with domain equal to the Sobolev space $W_0^{m,2}(\mathbf{R})$ where

$$c_1^{-1} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} f\|_2^2 \leq Q_0(f) \leq c_1 \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} f\|_2^2 \quad (1.1)$$

Then we define Q , the quadratic form associated with $H := H_0 + V$

$$Q(f) := Q(f) + \|V^{\frac{1}{2}}f\|_2^2$$

Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *If $k(t, x, y)$, the heat kernel generated by H is continuous in all three variables and is in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$ for all x and $t > 0$ and there are constants $\sigma, \mu > 0$ and $\lambda < 1$ such that*

$$k(t, x, x) < \sigma \frac{\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}}{t^\lambda} \quad (1.2)$$

then we have the following estimates:

Whenever $\langle x \rangle^\gamma t > 1$ we have

$$\sigma \frac{\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}}{t^\lambda} \exp \left(-c \langle x \rangle^{\frac{(1-\frac{N}{2m})\gamma-\mu}{1-\lambda}} t \right) < k(t, x, x) \quad (1.3)$$

and when $\langle x \rangle^\gamma t < 1$ we have

$$\sigma \frac{\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}}{t^\lambda} \exp \left(-c \langle x \rangle^{\frac{-\mu}{1-\lambda}} t^{\frac{\frac{N}{2m}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \right) < k(t, x, x) \quad (1.4)$$

where c is some positive constant..

We define the following functions for convenience.

$$u(t, x) := \sigma \frac{\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}}{t^\lambda}$$

$$\delta(t, x) := \frac{k(t, x, x)}{u(t, x)}$$

We summarise some of the key aspects of Davies' theory [1].

Lemma 1.2 (Davies [1]). *Given $0 < \alpha, s < 1$ and p defined by*

$$p + (1-p)\alpha s = s \quad (1.5)$$

$$k(ts, x, x) < (u(\alpha ts, x))^{1-p} (u(t, x))^p \left(\frac{k(t, x, x)}{u(t, x)} \right)^p$$

Proof. The three line lemma is applied to the analytic function $z \rightarrow k(z, x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} k(ts, x, x) &< (k(\alpha ts, x, x))^{1-p} k(t, x, x)^p \\ &< (u(\alpha ts, x))^{1-p} k(t, x, x)^p \\ &= (u(\alpha ts, x))^{1-p} (u(t, x))^p \left(\frac{k(t, x, x)}{u(t, x)} \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Definition 1.3. If ω_x is the distribution such that $\langle f, \omega_x \rangle = f(x)$ then we define $G_t(x, x)$

$$G_t(x, x) := \langle (tH + 1)^{-1} \omega_x, \omega_x \rangle = \left\langle \int_0^\infty e^{-(tH+1)s} ds \omega_x, \omega_x \right\rangle$$

Lemma 1.4 (Davies [1]).

$$\frac{G_t(x, x)}{u(t, x)} < \int_0^1 \frac{u(\alpha t s, x)}{u(t, x)} \delta(t, x)^p ds + e^{-1} \delta(t, x) \quad (1.6)$$

Proof. By lemma 1.2 and using the fact that $u(t, x)$ is a decreasing function we have

$$k(ts, x, x) < u(\alpha t s, x) \left(\frac{k(t, x, x)}{u(t, x)} \right)^p \quad (1.7)$$

Hence

$$\left\langle \int_0^1 e^{-(tH+1)s} ds \omega_x, \omega_x \right\rangle \leq \int_0^1 u(\alpha t s, x) \left(\frac{k(t, x, x)}{u(t, x)} \right)^p ds$$

Then the estimate

$$\left\langle \int_1^\infty e^{-(tH+1)s} ds \omega_x, \omega_x \right\rangle \leq e^{-1} k(t, x, x)$$

and dividing by $u(t, x)$ completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 1.5.

$$G_t(x, x) = \sup_{g \in \text{Dom} Q} \left\{ \frac{|g(x)|^2}{tQ(g) + \|g\|_2^2} : g \neq 0 \right\}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} G_t(x, x) &= \langle (tH + 1)^{-1} \omega_x, \omega_x \rangle \\ &= \langle (tH + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_x, (tH + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_x \rangle \\ &= \sup_{f \in L^2(\Omega)} \{ |\langle (tH + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_x, f \rangle|^2 : \|f\|_2 = 1 \} \\ &= \sup_{f \in L^2(\Omega)} \{ |(tH + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(x)|^2 : \|f\|_2 = 1 \} \\ &= \sup_{g \in \text{Dom} Q} \left\{ \frac{|g(x)|^2}{\|(tH + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} g\|^2} : g \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \sup_{g \in \text{Dom} Q} \left\{ \frac{|g(x)|^2}{tQ(g) + \|g\|_2^2} : g \neq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

2 Some Inequalities

Lemma 2.1. *If δ and λ are both positive and less than 1 then*

$$(1 - \lambda)^{1-\lambda} e^{\lambda-1} (\ln \delta^{-1})^{\lambda-1} > \delta$$

Proof. Follows from maximising the function $\delta (\ln \delta^{-1})^{1-\lambda}$ over lambda. □

Lemma 2.2. *For positive $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and p defined as in lemma 1.2*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{u(\alpha t s, x)}{u(t, x)} \delta(t, x)^p ds + e^{-1} \delta < \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{\alpha} + (1-\lambda)^{1-\lambda} e^{\lambda-2} \right) (\ln \delta^{-1})^{\lambda-1}$$

Proof.

$$\int_0^1 \frac{u(\alpha t s, x)}{u(t, x)} (\delta(t, x))^p ds + e^{-1} \delta = \int_0^1 (\alpha s)^{-\lambda} (\delta(t, x))^p ds + e^{-1} \delta$$

substitution of $\tau = \alpha s$ and with $p > \alpha$ we have

$$\int_0^1 (\alpha s)^{-\lambda} (\delta(t, x))^p ds < \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha \tau^{-\lambda} \exp(-|\ln \delta| \tau) d\tau$$

a further change of variable $y = \tau \ln \delta^{-1}$ the RHS becomes

$$\frac{(\ln \delta^{-1})^{\lambda-1}}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha y^{-\lambda} e^{-y} dy$$

we use

$$\Gamma(1-\lambda) > \int_0^\alpha y^{-\lambda} e^{-y} dy$$

along with lemma 2.1 to complete the proof. □

3 Estimation of the Greens function

If $g_x(y)$ is an appropriate test function then we can estimate the Greens function with the evaluation

$$\frac{|g(x)|^2}{tQ(g) + \|g\|_2^2}$$

We are primarily concerned with behaviour of the heat kernel away from the origin, and thus will consider test functions to reflect this. The choice of test functions is the only significant difference between the analysis to find lower bounds for elliptic operators in [1] and our analysis here for Schrödinger operators. We require test functions that are measurably sensitive to the potential as well as the differential operator.

Definition 3.1. We let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\psi(s) = 1$ when $|s| \leq 1$ and $\psi(s) = 0$ when $|s| \geq 2$

Definition 3.2. We define $g_x(y) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$

$$g_x(y) = \psi\left(\frac{x-y}{\langle x \rangle^\beta}\right) \quad (3.8)$$

for some $\beta(x)$ such that $\beta(x) < 1$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$

It is straight forward to show that

$$\|(-\Delta)^m g_x\|_\infty \leq c \langle x \rangle^{-\beta 2m}$$

for some positive c .

Lemma 3.3. There is some positive constant $c > 0$ such that

$$tQ(g_x) + \|g_x\|_2^2 \leq c \langle x \rangle^{\beta N} (t \langle x \rangle^{-2m\beta} + t \langle x \rangle^\gamma + 1) \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. There is some positive constant c such that

$$Q_0(g_x) \leq c \langle x \rangle^{\beta(N-2m)}$$

and moreover there is a positive constant c such that

$$\|V^{\frac{1}{2}} g_x\|_2^2 \leq c \langle x \rangle^{\gamma + \beta N}$$

□

4 Heat kernel for $t \langle x \rangle^\gamma > 1$

Lemma 4.1. There is a positive constant c such that

$$tQ(g_x) + \|g_x\|_2^2 \leq c \langle x \rangle^{\left(1 - \frac{N}{2m}\right)\gamma t}$$

becomes

Proof. For $t \langle x \rangle^\gamma > 1$ inequality (3.9) reduces to

$$tQ(g_x) + \|g_x\|_2^2 \leq c \langle x \rangle^{\beta N} t (\langle x \rangle^{-2m\beta} + \langle x \rangle^\gamma) \quad (4.10)$$

and we optimise the RHS over β with

$$\beta_M(x) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\gamma - \frac{\ln\left(\frac{2m}{N} - 1\right)}{\ln(\langle x \rangle)} \right)$$

and since the definition of $\langle x \rangle$ implies that $\frac{2m}{N} - 1 < \langle x \rangle^{2m+\gamma}$ it follows that $\beta_M(x) < 1$ for all x . The proof is completed by substituting β_M into 4.10 □

Lemma 4.2. *There is a positive constant c*

$$\sigma \frac{\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}}{t^\lambda} \exp \left(-c \langle x \rangle^{\frac{(1-\frac{N}{2m})\gamma-\mu}{1-\lambda}} t \right) < k(t, x, x)$$

Proof. From the definition of the test functions we recall that $g_x(x) = 1$, hence

$$\frac{|g_x(x)|}{tQ(g_x) + \|g_x\|_2^2} > \frac{c}{t} \langle x \rangle^{\left(\frac{N}{2m}-1\right)\gamma} \quad (4.11)$$

for some constant c . Application of lemma 2.2 yields the inequality

$$c \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\left(\frac{N}{2m}-1\right)\gamma+\mu}}{t^{1-\lambda}} < \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{\alpha} + (1-\lambda)^{1-\lambda} e^{\lambda-2} \right) (\ln \delta^{-1})^{\lambda-1}$$

and simplyfying we have

$$-c \langle x \rangle^{\frac{(1-\frac{N}{2m})\gamma-\mu}{1-\lambda}} t < \ln \delta$$

and our inequality is obtained on inverting the logarithm and substitution of $u(t, x)$. $\square$

5 Heat kernel for $t\langle x \rangle^\gamma < 1$

Lemma 5.1. *There is some constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$Q(g_x) + \|g_x\|_2^2 < ct^{\frac{N}{2m}}$$

Proof. When $t\langle x \rangle^\gamma > 1$ inequality (3.9) reduces to

$$Q(g_x) + \|g_x\|_2^2 \leq c \langle x \rangle^{\beta N} (t \langle x \rangle^{-2m\beta} + 1) \quad (5.12)$$

for some $c > 0$. We find that the RHS is optimised over β for

$$\beta_M = \frac{1}{2m \ln \langle x \rangle} \left(\ln \left(\frac{2m}{N} - 1 \right) + \ln t \right)$$

$\square$

Lemma 5.2. *There is a positive constant c such that*

$$\sigma \frac{\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}}{t^\lambda} \exp \left(-c \langle x \rangle^{\frac{-\mu}{1-\lambda}} t^{\frac{\frac{N}{2m}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \right) < k(t, x, x)$$

Proof. Using $g_x(x) = 1$ we have

$$\frac{|g_x(x)|}{tQ(g_x) + \|g_x\|_2^2} > ct^{\frac{-N}{2m}} \quad (5.13)$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Substituting for $u(t, x)$ and an application of lemma 2.2 we have

$$c \sigma^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\mu t^{\lambda-\frac{N}{2m}} < \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{\alpha} + (1-\lambda)^{1-\lambda} e^{\lambda-2} \right) (\ln \delta^{-1})^{\lambda-1}$$

and we solve to complete the proof. $\square$

Proof of theorem 1.1. Follows from lemmas 5.2 and 4.2

□

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by an EPSRC Ph.D grant. I would like to thank Brian Davies for giving me this problem and his encouragement since. I thank Owen Nicholas and Mark Owen for their invaluable discussions. I am indebted to Anita for all her support.

References

- [1] E.B. Davies, *Pointwise Lower Bounds On The Heat Kernels of Higher Order Elliptic Operators*
Math Proc Camb Phil Soc 125 1999 p105-111
- [2] D.W.Robinson A.F.M.ter Elst *Local Lower Bounds on Heat Kernels*
Positivity 2(1998), 123–151.